AP GOV THE FEDERAL COURTS REVIEWED!

Government in America (Pearson) Chapter 15

American Government: (Wilson) Chapter 16 Institutions & Policies

THE NATURE OF THE JUDICIAL SYSTEM

Supreme Court has the power of judicial review: check the power of other branches of government and/or state governments

"The foundation for powers of the judicial branch and how its independence checks the power of other institutions and state governments are set forth in:"

- Article III of the Constitution: "The judicial power of the United States, shall be vested in one Supreme Court, and in such inferior courts as the Congress may from time to time ordain and establish."
- Federalist No. 78: Written by Hamilton in 1788 to discuss power of a independent judiciary
- Marbury v. Madison (1803): "established the principle of judicial review empowering the Supreme Court to nullify an act of the legislative or executive branch that violates the Constitution"





THE NATURE OF THE JUDICIAL SYSTEM

- Two basic types of cases:
 - 1) <u>Criminal:</u> government charges somebody with violating the law
 - 2) <u>Civil</u>: dispute between two private parties (individual, organization, group, company, etc.)
- Participants in the Judicial System
 - Plaintiff is the one making the accusation
 - the court determines plaintiff has standing to sue
 - Defendant is the one being sued or accused
 - amicus curiae ("friend of the court") briefs can be filed by people or groups who are not a party in a case
 - Goal: hope to influence the decision, express POV on a issue

THE STRUCTURE OF THE FEDERAL JUDICIAL SYSTEM Article III in the Constitution **Federal Courts** - Only established the Supreme Court U.S. Supreme Court Left to Congress to create other federal courts 1 Federal Court System: 1. District Courts: typically the court of original jurisdiction Court of original jurisdiction is the 1st court that hears a case U.S. District Court Determine the facts of the case Court of Appeals/Circuit Courts Not all appeals will be heard Appellate courts preside over cases on appeal from the lower court Do not review the facts of the case---only review any legal issues (appellate jurisdiction)

THE POLITICS OF JUDICIAL SELECTION

HORTHERN MARLANA

Federal judicial appointments are nominated by the President and subject to Senate confirmation

Last stop

- Allows President to have a impact well past their term in office
- Era of divided government has made the process of judicial selection very contentious
- The Senate Judiciary Committee holds a tremendous amount of power in the Suprer Court confirmation process
 - Conducts hearings
- Confirmation vote
- Background of justices have historically not been representative of the American population
- Main factor in selecting judicial nominees has been likeminded ideology



- Highest court in the land
- Composition of the court
 - Currently 9 justices on the SC
- - Controls which cases it will hear
 - Rule of Four



- briefs, amicus curiae briefs, oral arguments
- Usually there are two sides to a Supreme Court decision (majority or minority opinion)
 - Each side has a justice write an opinion that provides a explanation of the ruling/decision
 - Majority opinion: has most support and its decision takes effect
 - Minority or Dissenting opinion: legal argument of the losing side
 - Concurring opinion: justice may agree with the outcome but may have a different legal reasoning than the majority

REQUIRED SUPREME COURT CASES

- McCulloch v. Maryland (1819)
- United States v. Lopez (1995)
- Engel v. Vitale (1962)
- Wisconsin v. Yoder (1972)
- Tinker v. Des Moines Independent Community School District (1969)
- New York Times Co. v. United States (1971)
- Schenck v. United States (1919)
- Gideon v. Wainwright (1963)
- Roe v. Wade (1973)
- McDonald v. Chicago (2010)
- Brown v. Board of Education (1954)
- Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission (2010)
- Baker v. Carr (1961)
- Shaw v. Reno (1993)
- Marbury v. Madison (1803)



THE SUPREME COURT

- "Precedents and stare decisis play an important role in judicial decision making."
- · Majority opinion establishes a precedent
 - Decisions made by higher courts establish the legal standard for similar cases moving forward
 - lower courts must follow the legal ruling...
 BUT....
- The Supreme Court can overturn a precedent from
- a prior Supreme Court decision
 Remember Brown v. Board of Education (1954)
 overturned Plessy v. Ferguson (1896)
- Precedent should not be casually overturned
- Concept of stare decisis ("the decision stands")
 - · Principle of respecting precedent
- Ideological shifts in the makeup of the Supreme Court have led to the Court's establishing new or rejecting existing precedent



A SHORT HISTORY: THE COURTS & PUBLIC POLICY

- John Marshall court:
 - Marbury v. Madison (1803): Supreme Court has the power to interpret the Constitution (judicial review)
- New Deal: Many New Deal programs were ruled unconstitutional by the conservative court
 - FDR's court-packing plan called for a increase in the number of justices on the Supreme Court
 - Rejected by Congress
- Warren Court (1953-1969):
 - Dramatic increase in individual rights/civil liberties
- Burger Court
 - Moved the court in a more conservative direction
- Supreme Court since 1980
 - Tilts conservative



THE BASIS OF DECISIONS

- Justices often disagree on how to interpret the Constitution
 - "due process," "equal protection," "unreasonable search and seizure," & "bear arms"
- Originalism: belief the court should interpret the Constitution as it was originally written
- Living Constitution: belief the words in the Constitution must be understood within the context of the times and they have a dynamic meaning

IMPLEMENTING DECISIONS

- The Judicial branch does NOT implement or enforce their decisions
 - Sometimes the decisions are not enforced (example: Most of the South refused to integrate after Brown v. Board of Education
- Decisions that are controversial or unpopular have led to questions about the Court's legitimacy and power

UNDERSTANDING THE COURTS • Are the courts too powerful? - Judicial restraint: defer to democratically elected legislatures - Judicial activism: courts should play a large role in protecting the rights of the minority • Restrictions on the Supreme Court: - Congressional legislation to change impact of SC decisions - Constitutional amendments - Judicial appointments and confirmations - President and/or states ignoring SC decisions - Legislation impacting court jurisdiction

